## arm

# How to be an effective reviewer

Gilles Peskine

Original: Jan 2019; revised Sep 2020

© 2020 Arm Limited. Shared under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Sharealike (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0) license.

## First, get into the right mindset!

In the future, someone else is going to use and maintain this code.

They'll hold me responsible for any bug.

That person is a psychopath.

They know my home address.

They have a time machine.



## Channel your inner gatekeeper

#### Do we want this? Why?

- Bug fix? User request? Internally desired feature? Maintenance improvement?
- If I don't think we should do it, enquire internally

Look at the general shape

• Does it change what I think it should change?

#### Does it solve the problem?

- And is this the (or at least a) right way to solve the problem?
- Check against any applicable requirements or architecture document
  - If there isn't one, should there be?
- Am I aware of other ongoing work that it would conflict with?

#### e the problem? To SOMEONE, I GO TO FILE→SAVE, THEN MPORT THE SAVED PAGE INTO WORD. THEN

I GO TO "SHARE THIS DOCUMENT" AND UNDER "RECIPIENT" I PUT THE EMAIL OF THIS VIDEO EXTRACTION SERVICE ...

SEE. I'VE GOT A REALLY GOOD SYSTEM:

IF I WANT TO SEND A YOUTUBE VIDEO



#### Add JavaScript interpreter #666

n Open totallyinnocent wants to merge 1 commit into ARMmbed:devel

## Channel your inner user

#### Is the PR useful?

- For its original objective
- For something slightly different

#### Do I understand the documentation?

- Do I understand which function(s) to call and how?
  - Do I understand how to build/configure? Should this be in the default build?
- Is it sufficiently clear and detailed? Is it well-written and formatted?
  - Especially: preconditions, error cases
- Does it need a changelog entry? a sample application? a knowledge base article?



## Channel your inner conservative developper

Looking at the code only, without the context of the PR,

**Do I understand why the code is correct** and does what it says on the tin?

- If not, it needs either a bug fix or a comment
- Robustness: what later changes might break it?
  - Will the compiler catch it? The tests? Static analysis?
- Are the changes justified? (if it ain't broke, don't fix it)
- Does the code conform to the documentation?
- Portability (does it work on the DeathStation 9000? do we care?)
- Anything else I can think of, anything I've broken/seen break in the past, ...



## Channel your inner progressive developper

#### Does the resulting code look right?

- Does the PR go far enough?
  - Is there further refactoring to do?
  - Should more functions be made public? Fewer?

## and your inner competitor

#### I could do so much better!

- Can it be made more obviously correct? easier to maintain?
- Performance: could it use less code, use less memory, be faster, ...?

#### Make improvements now? File issues for later?







#### arm

## Channel your inner attacker

#### How do I break it?

- Input validation
- Buffer overflows and other pointer arithmetic
- Memory management (use of uninitialized memory, use after free, memory leak...)
- Are the documented preconditions sufficient to ensure the code is correct?
  - Should there be fewer documented preconditions and more checks in the code?
- Any other security concerns (e.g. side channels)





## Channel your inner quality assurancer

#### Is the code well-tested?

- Bug fix: non-regression test if practical
- New feature: unit tests, integration/system tests if applicable
  - Tests for special cases (not just what the code *does* but what it *should do*, which code coverage measurements won't tell you)
- If any test is removed or modified, is this justified?
  - If a test needed to be changed, isn't this a compatibility break?

Does this conform to any applicable standard?

• Is the standard referenced in a comment?

Does this conform to our house rules? (Style, documentation habits, ...)



## Channel your inner maintainer

#### Backward compatibility

- What behaviors does this change? Does it break the API? the ABI? To what extent?
  - User-facing documentation: is it clear what is guaranteed and what can change in future versions?

#### Suitability

•

- Usually this works for the author's use case. What other uses cases are there? Corner cases?
- If there's an API extension, is this what we'll still want in five years?

#### Maintainability

- Looking at the changes and the git commit messages only, do I understand what each step does?
  - Ok to need the context of the PR to understand the overall goal, but not to understand individual commits



## Finally, channel your inner everything

#### Mindset: what's missing?

- Handling of special cases
- Documentation
- Tests
- Updates to build/test scripts
- Behavior in non-default configurations
- Things that I've (seen) forgotten in the past





Thank You! Danke! Merci! 谢谢! ありがとう! **Gracias!** Kiitos! 감사합니다 धन्यवाद



### **Image credits**

[2] <u>Terminator Robot Futuristic Machine</u> by jean52Photosstock. <u>Pixabay</u>

- [3] <u>Workaround</u> (extract) by Randall Monroe. <u>CC BY-NC 2.5</u>
- [4] <u>Untitled</u>, unknown artist. <u>CC0 (public domain)</u>.
- [5] <u>Stubborn Donkey</u> by Asaf Braverman. <u>CC BY-NC-SA 2.0</u>
- [6] Ivory okimono of an elephant trampling a tiger, unknown artist, Japan. <u>Photo by</u> <u>Galleries of Wolverhampton</u>. <u>CC BY-NC-SA 2.0</u>
- [6] <u>Bear climbing a tree</u> by Nicolas Vollmer (extract). <u>CC BY 2.0</u>
- [6] <u>Wolf Howling</u> by skeeze. <u>Pixabay</u>
- [7] <u>Anonymous hacker behind pc</u> by elconomeno. <u>CCO (public domain)</u>.
- [8] <u>Transpalatial arch expansion</u> (extract) by Giorgio Fiorelli. <u>CC BY 3.0</u>

[10] <u>The Oklahoma</u> by Vegan Feast Catering. <u>CC BY 2.0</u>